

## Ordinance Changes Reference Chart 2013

167.20. Definitions. Old Language	New Language	Notes
<i>Chief election official</i> includes the director of elections and his or her designee.	<i>Chief election official</i> <del>includes the director of elections and his or her designee</del> <u>means the City Clerk and includes the City Clerk's designee(s).</u>	<b>Administrative/Housekeeping Change</b> Brings the ordinance into alignment with the Charter
None	<u><i>Declared write-in candidate(s)</i> means a candidate(s) who has filed a written request in accordance with section 167.45.</u>	<b>RCV enhancement</b> Comparable process used in federal, state and county offices, and in the charter cities of St. Paul and Blaine
<del><i>Duplicate ranking</i> occurs when a voter ranks the same candidate at multiple rankings for the office being counted.</del>	Stricken	<b>Administrative/Housekeeping Change</b> Renamed, refer to <i>Repeat candidate ranking</i> definition
<i>Exhausted ballot</i> means a ballot that cannot be advanced under section 167.60(a)(2) or section 167.70(a)(2).	<i>Exhausted ballot</i> means a ballot that cannot be advanced under section <del>167.60(a)(2) or section 167.70(a)(2)</del> <u>167.60(c)(2) or section 167.70(c)(2).</u>	<b>Renumbering</b>
<i>Mathematically impossible to be elected</i> means either:  (1) The candidate could never win because his or her current vote total plus all votes that could possibly be transferred to him or her in future rounds (from candidates with fewer votes, tied candidates, and surplus votes, would not be enough to surpass the candidate with the next higher current vote total; or	<i>Mathematically impossible to be elected</i> means either:  (1) The candidate could never win because his or her current vote total plus all votes that could possibly be transferred to him or her in future rounds (from candidates with fewer votes, tied candidates, <del>and surplus votes,</del> <u>and from undeclared write-in candidates</u> ) would not be enough to <u>equal or</u> surpass the candidate with the next higher current vote total; or	<b>Administrative/Housekeeping Change relative to RCV enhancement: write-ins</b>

## Ordinance Changes Reference Chart 2013

None	<p><u>Maximum possible threshold</u> means the number of votes sufficient for a candidate to be elected under a first ranked choice tabulation under sections 167.60(b) and 167.70(b). In any given election, the maximum possible threshold equals the total ballots cast that include votes, undervotes, skipped rankings, or overvotes for the office, divided by the sum of one (1) plus the number of offices to be filled, then adding one (1), disregarding any fractions. Maximum Possible Threshold = ((Total ballots cast that include votes, undervotes, skipped rankings, or overvotes for the office)/(Seats to be elected + 1)) +1, with any fractions disregarded.</p>	<p><b>RCV Enhancement: Election Night Results</b> Allows use of election night results to determine election night winners</p>
<p><i>Ranked-choice voting</i> means an election method in which voters rank candidates for an office in order of their preference and the ballots are counted in rounds that, in the case of a single-seat election, simulate a series of runoffs until one (1) candidate meets the threshold, or until two (2) candidates remain and the candidate with the greatest number of votes is declared elected. In the case of multiple-seat elections, a winning threshold is calculated, and votes, or fractions thereof, are distributed to candidates according to the preferences marked on each ballot as described in section 167.70 of this chapter.</p>	<p><i>Ranked-choice voting</i> means an election method in which voters rank candidates for an office in order of their preference and the ballots are counted in rounds that, in the case of a single-seat election, simulate a series of runoffs until one (1) candidate meets the threshold, or until two (2) candidates remain and the candidate with the greatest number of votes is declared elected. In the case of multiple-seat elections, a winning threshold is calculated, and votes, or fractions thereof, are distributed to candidates according to the preferences marked on each ballot as described in <del>section</del> sections 167.60 and 167.70 of this chapter.</p>	<p><b>Administrative/Housekeeping Change</b> Consistent tabulation procedures for single- and multiple-seat elections.</p>
<p><i>Ranked-choice voting tabulation center</i> means the place selected for the automatic or manual processing and tabulation of ballots and/or votes.</p>	<p><i>Ranked-choice voting tabulation center</i> means <del>the place selected for the automatic or manual processing and tabulation of ballots and/or votes.</del> <u>one or more locations selected by the chief election official for the tabulation of votes.</u></p>	<p><b>Administrative/Housekeeping Change</b> Allows flexibility if needed</p>
None	<p><u>Repeat candidate ranking</u> occurs when a voter ranks the same candidate at multiple rankings for the office being counted.</p>	<p><b>Administrative/Housekeeping Change</b> Renamed <i>Duplicate ranking</i></p>

### Ordinance Changes Reference Chart 2013

<p><i>Threshold</i> means the number of votes sufficient for a candidate to be elected. In any given election, the threshold equals the total votes counted in the first round after removing partially defective ballots, divided by the sum of one (1) plus the number of offices to be filled and adding one (1) to the quotient, disregarding any fractions. Threshold = (Total votes cast)/(Seats to be elected + 1) +1, with any fractions disregarded.</p>	<p><i>Threshold</i> means the number of votes sufficient for a candidate to be elected. In any given election, the threshold equals the total votes counted in the first round after removing partially defective ballots, divided by the sum of one (1) plus the number of offices to be filled <del>and, then</del> adding one (1) <del>to the quotient,</del> disregarding any fractions. Threshold = <math>\lfloor \frac{\text{Total votes cast}}{\text{Seats to be elected} + 1} \rfloor + 1</math>, with any fractions disregarded.</p>	<p><b>Administrative/Housekeeping Change</b></p>
<p>None</p>	<p><u>Undeclared write-in candidate</u> means a write-in candidate who is not a declared write-in candidate.</p>	<p><b>Administrative/Housekeeping Change relative to RCV enhancement: write-ins</b></p>
<p><b>167.30. Ballots.</b> <b>Old Language</b></p>	<p><b>New Language</b></p>	<p><b>Notes</b></p>
<p>b) <i>Mixed-election method ballots.</i> If elections are held in which ranked-choice voting is used in addition to other methods of voting, the ranked-choice voting and non-ranked-choice voting elections must be on the same ballot card if possible, with ranked-choice voting and non-ranked-choice voting portions clearly separated on the ballot card. If placement of all offices to be elected cannot be placed on a single ballot card, a separate ballot card may be used for those offices to be elected using ranked-choice voting. The city may deviate from the standard ballot order of offices to allow separation of ranked-choice voting and non-ranked-choice voting elections</p>	<p>b) <i>Mixed-election method ballots.</i> If elections are held in which ranked-choice voting is used in addition to other methods of voting, the ranked-choice voting and non-ranked-choice voting elections must be on the same ballot <del>card</del> if possible, with ranked-choice voting and non-ranked-choice voting portions clearly separated on the ballot <del>card</del>. If placement of all offices to be elected cannot be placed on a single ballot <del>card</del>, a separate ballot <del>card</del> may be used for those offices to be elected using ranked-choice voting. The city may deviate from the standard ballot order of offices to allow separation of ranked-choice voting and non-ranked-choice voting elections</p>	<p><b>Administrative/Housekeeping Change</b></p>

## Ordinance Changes Reference Chart 2013

<b>167.40. Ranked-choice voting tabulation center</b> <b>Old Language</b>	<b>New Language</b>	<b>Notes</b>
<p><b>167.40. Ranked-choice voting tabulation center.</b> The chief election official shall designate one (1) location to serve as the ranked-choice voting tabulation center. The center must be accessible to the public for the purpose of observing the vote tabulation. Tabulation of votes must be conducted as described in sections 167.60 and 167.70 of this chapter</p>	<p><b>167.40. Ranked-choice voting tabulation center.</b> The chief election official shall designate one <del>(1) location</del> <u>or more locations</u> to serve as the ranked-choice voting tabulation center. The center must be accessible to the public for the purpose of observing the vote tabulation. Tabulation of votes must be conducted as described in sections 167.60 and 167.70 of this chapter</p>	<p><b>Administrative/Housekeeping Change</b> Allows flexibility of use if needed</p>
<p><b>167.45. Write-in votes</b> <b>Old Language</b></p>	<p><b>New Language</b></p>	<p><b>Notes</b></p>
<p>None</p>	<p><b>167.45. Write-in votes.</b> <u>A candidate for municipal office who wants write-in votes for the candidate to be counted as votes for the candidate must file a written request with the chief election official no later than seven days before the general or special election. The chief election official shall provide copies of the form to make the request.</u></p>	<p><b>RCV enhancement: write-ins</b> Process to file as a declared write-in</p>
<p><b>167.50 Tabulation of votes; in general</b> <b>Old Language</b></p>	<p><b>New Language</b></p>	<p><b>Notes</b></p>
<p><b>167.50. Tabulation of votes; in general.</b> (a) <i>Precinct tabulation.</i> When the hours for voting have ended and all voting has concluded, the election judges in each precinct shall record and publicly declare the number of votes at each ranking on the ballot. The election judges must then securely transfer all electronic voting data and ballots from the precinct to the ranked-choice voting tabulation center designated pursuant to section 167.40 of this chapter upon receipt at the ranked-choice voting tabulation center, all electronic voting data and ballots shall be secured</p>	<p><b>167.50. Tabulation of votes; in general.</b> (a) <i>Precinct tabulation.</i> When the hours for voting have ended and all voting has concluded, the election judges in each precinct shall record <del>and publicly declare</del> the number of votes at each ranking on the ballot. The election judges must then securely transfer all <del>electronic voting data</del> <u>election night materials</u> and ballots from the precinct to the <del>ranked-choice voting tabulation center designated pursuant to section 167.40 of this chapter</del> <u>location designated by the chief election official.</u> Upon receipt <del>at the ranked-choice voting tabulation center, all electronic voting data</del> <u>, the election night materials and</u> ballots shall be secured</p>	<p><b>Administrative/Housekeeping Change</b></p>

### Ordinance Changes Reference Chart 2013

<p>(b) <i>Notice of recess in count.</i> At any time following receipt of materials per 167.50(a) the chief election official may declare a recess. Notice of such recess must include the date, time and location at which the process of recording and tabulating votes will resume and the reason for the recess. Notice shall be posted on the city's official bulletin board and on the door of the ranked-choice voting tabulation center.</p>	<p>(b) <i>Notice of recess in count.</i> At any time following receipt of materials per 167.50(a) the chief election official may declare a recess. Notice <u>shall be posted</u> of such recess, <u>which</u> must include the date, time and location at which the process of recording and tabulating votes will resume and the reason for the recess. <del>Notice shall be posted on the city's official bulletin board and on the door of the ranked-choice voting tabulation center</del></p>	<p><b>Administrative/Housekeeping Change</b></p>
<p>(c) <i>Recording write-in votes.</i> At a time set by the chief election official, the judges of the election shall convene at the ranked-choice voting tabulation center to examine ballots on which voters have indicated a write-in choice, and record the names and number of votes received by each write-in candidate. In the event that votes cast for the write-in category are not eliminated as provided in section 167.60(b), or 167.70(c), the results must be entered into the ranked-choice voting tabulation software.</p>	<p>(c) <i>Recording write-in votes.</i> At a time set by the chief election official, the judges of the election shall convene at <del>the a</del> ranked-choice voting tabulation center to <del>examine ballots on which voters have indicated a write-in choice, and</del> record the names and number of votes received by each <u>declared</u> write-in candidate. <del>In the event that votes cast for the write-in category are not eliminated as provided in section 167.60(b), or 167.70(c), the results must be entered into the ranked-choice voting tabulation software. The</del> <u>number of votes received by undeclared write-in candidates will be recorded as a group by office.</u></p>	<p><b>Administrative/Housekeeping Change relative to RCV enhancement: write-ins</b></p>
<p>(d) Ranked-choice vote tabulation. After all votes for all candidates have been recorded and at a time set by the chief election official, the process of tabulating votes cast for offices to be elected using the ranked-choice method shall begin. The counting shall continue until preliminary results for all races are determined, subject to provisions contained in 167.50(b).</p>	<p><del>(d) Ranked-choice vote tabulation. After all votes for all candidates have been recorded and at a time set by the chief election official, the process of tabulating votes cast for offices to be elected using the ranked-choice method shall begin. The counting shall continue until preliminary results for all races are determined, subject to provisions contained in 167.50(b).</del></p>	<p><b>Administrative/Housekeeping Change</b></p>
<p><b>167.60 Tabulation of votes; single-seat elections</b> Old Language</p>	<p><b>New Language</b></p>	<p><b>Notes</b></p>

## Ordinance Changes Reference Chart 2013

<p><i>See note for explanation of changes to 167.60(a)</i></p>		<p>Note for section 167.60(a): Except for the first paragraph, which is unchanged, this section has been amended and moved to 167.60(c). See below for renumbering and changes.</p>
<p>None</p>	<p><u>(b) First ranked choice tabulation. A first ranked choice tabulation shall be done under this clause before a tabulation as described in clause (c). A first ranked choice tabulation will consist of a first round only. Under the first ranked choice tabulation, the vote total will be the sum of the number one ranked votes. The maximum possible threshold must be determined. If the vote total for a candidate, other than an undeclared or a declared write-in candidate, is equal to or greater than the maximum possible threshold, that candidate is declared elected and the tabulation is complete. If the vote total for no candidate, other than an undeclared or a declared write-in candidate, is equal to or greater than the maximum possible threshold, a tabulation, as described in clause (c), shall be done.</u></p>	<p><b>RCV enhancement: Election Night Results</b> Process to determine winners based on election night first choice results</p> <p><b>RCV enhancement: Voter intent</b> Allows for voter intent rules which call for disregarding RCV skipped rankings, overvotes and repeat candidate rankings and proceeding to the ballot's next highest ranking</p>
<p>(1) Tabulation of votes at the ranked-choice voting tabulation center must proceed in rounds for each office to be counted. The threshold must be calculated and publicly declared Each round must proceed sequentially as follows:</p>	<p><u>(c) Tabulation of Round(s).</u> (1) Tabulation of votes at the ranked-choice voting tabulation center must proceed in rounds for each office to be counted. The threshold must be calculated <del>and publicly declared</del>. Each round must proceed sequentially as follows:</p>	<p><b>Renumbering</b> Was 167.60(a)1, now 167.60(c)1</p> <p><b>Administrative/Housekeeping Change</b></p>

## Ordinance Changes Reference Chart 2013

<p>a. The number of votes cast for each candidate, as indicated by the highest continuing ranking on each ballot, must be counted. If a candidate's vote total is equal to or greater than the threshold, that candidate is declared elected and the tabulation is complete. If no candidate's vote total is equal to or greater than the threshold, a new round begins and the tabulation must continue as described in clause b.</p>	<p>a. The number of votes cast for each candidate, as indicated by the highest continuing ranking on each ballot, must be counted. If a <del>candidate's candidate,</del> <u>other than an undeclared write-in candidate, has a vote total <del>that</del></u> is equal to or greater than the threshold, that candidate is declared elected and the tabulation is complete. If no <del>candidate's candidate, other than an undeclared write-in candidate, has a vote total that</del> is equal to or greater than the threshold, a new round begins and the tabulation must continue as described in clause b.</p>	<p><b>Renumbering</b> Was 167.60(a)(1)a, now 167.60(c)(1)a</p> <p><b>Administrative/Housekeeping Change relative to RCV enhancement: write-ins</b></p>
<p>b. All candidates for whom it is mathematically impossible to be elected must be defeated simultaneously. Votes for the defeated candidates must be transferred to each ballot's next-ranked continuing candidate. If no candidate can be defeated mathematically, the tabulation must continue as described in clause c. Otherwise, the tabulation must continue as described in clause d.</p>	<p>b. <u>At the beginning of the second round only, all undeclared write-in candidates and all candidates for whom it is mathematically impossible to be elected must be defeated simultaneously. For rounds subsequent to the second round, all</u> <del>All</del> candidates for whom it is mathematically impossible to be elected must be defeated simultaneously. Votes for the defeated candidates must be transferred to each ballot's next-ranked continuing candidate, <u>except votes for candidates defeated in the final round are not transferred if, by their defeat, the number of continuing candidates is reduced to one.</u> If no candidate can be defeated <del>mathematically</del> <u>under this clause</u>, the tabulation must continue as described in clause c. Otherwise, the tabulation must continue as described in clause d.</p>	<p><b>Renumbering</b> Was 167.60(a)(1)b, now 167.60(c)(1)b</p> <p><b>Administrative/Housekeeping Change relative to RCV enhancement: write-ins</b></p> <p><b>Administrative/Housekeeping Change</b> Same tabulation procedures for single- and multiple-seat elections.</p>

## Ordinance Changes Reference Chart 2013

<p>c. The candidate with the fewest votes is defeated. Votes for the defeated candidate must be transferred to each ballot's next-ranked continuing candidate. Ties between candidates with the fewest votes must immediately and publicly be decided by lot by the chief election official at the ranked-choice voting tabulation center. The candidate chosen by lot must be defeated. The result of the tie resolution must be recorded and reused in the event of a recount.</p>	<p>c. The candidate with the fewest votes is defeated. Votes for the defeated candidates must be transferred to each ballot's next-ranked continuing candidate, <u>except votes for candidates defeated in the final round are not transferred if, by their defeat, the number of continuing candidates is reduced to one.</u> Ties between candidates with the fewest votes must immediately and publicly be decided by lot by the chief election official at the ranked-choice voting tabulation center. The candidate chosen by lot must be defeated. The result of the tie resolution must be recorded and reused in the event of a recount.</p>	<p><b>Renumbering</b> Was 167.60(a)(1)c, now 167.60(c)(1)c</p> <p><b>Administrative/Housekeeping Change</b> Same tabulation procedures for single- and multiple-seat elections.</p>
<p>d. The procedures in clauses a. to c. must be repeated until one (1) candidate reaches the threshold, or until only two (2) continuing candidates remain. If only two (2) candidates remain, the candidate with the most votes must be elected. In the case of a tie between two (2) continuing candidates, the tie must be decided by lot as provided in Minneapolis Charter Chapter 2, Section 12. The result of the tie resolution must be recorded and reused in the event of a recount.</p>	<p>d. The procedures in clauses a. to c. must be repeated until one (1) candidate reaches the threshold, or until only <del>two (2) continuing candidates remain</del> <u>one (1) continuing candidate remains</u>. If only <del>two (2) candidates remain</del> <u>one (1) continuing candidate remains</u>, <del>the that continuing candidate with the most votes</del> must be elected. In the case of a tie between two (2) <u>or more</u> continuing candidates, the tie must be decided by lot as provided in Minneapolis Charter Chapter 2, Section 12. The result of the tie resolution must be recorded and reused in the event of a recount. <u>A tied candidate chosen by lot must be defeated. When only one (1) continuing candidate remains after a tie has been decided by lot, that continuing candidate must be elected and the votes of the tied candidate chosen by lot will be retained.</u></p>	<p><b>Renumbering</b> Was 167.60(a)(1)d, now 167.60(c)(1)d</p> <p><b>Administrative/Housekeeping Change</b> Same tabulation procedures for single- and multiple-seat elections.</p>



## Ordinance Changes Reference Chart 2013

<p>(2) When a single skipped ranking is encountered on a ballot, that ballot shall count towards the next non-skipped ranking. If any ballot cannot be advanced because no further continuing candidates are ranked on that ballot, or because a voter has skipped more than one (1) ranking or because an undervote, overvote, or duplicate ranking is encountered, the ballot shall not count towards any candidate in that round or in subsequent rounds for the office being counted.</p>	<p>(2) When a <del>single</del> <u>skipped ranking, overvote or repeat candidate ranking</u> is encountered on a ballot, that ballot shall count towards the <del>next non-skipped ranking</del> <u>highest continuing ranking that is not a skipped ranking, an overvote or repeat candidate ranking</u>. If any ballot cannot be advanced because no further continuing candidates are ranked on that ballot, or because <del>a voter has skipped more than one (1) ranking or because an undervote, overvote, or duplicate ranking is encountered</del>, <u>the only votes for further continuing candidates that are ranked on that ballot are either overvotes or repeat candidate rankings</u>, the ballot shall not count towards any candidate in that round or in subsequent rounds for the office being counted.</p>	<p><b>Renumbering</b> Was 167.60(a)(2), now 167.60(c)(2)</p> <p><b>RCV enhancement: Voter intent</b> Clarifies voter intent specific to RCV to eliminate inconsistent rules. Adopts a principle that when a skipped ranking, overvote or repeat candidate is encountered in a ranking, the ballot's next highest ranked continuing candidate will be used.</p>
<p><b>167.70 Tabulation of votes; multiple-seat elections</b> <b>Old Language</b></p>	<p><b>New Language</b></p>	<p><b>Notes</b></p>
<p><i>See note for explanation of changes to 167.70(a)</i></p>		<p>Note for section 167.70(a): Except for the first paragraph, which is unchanged, this section has been amended and moved to 167.70(c). See below for renumbering and changes.</p>

## Ordinance Changes Reference Chart 2013

<p>None</p>	<p><u>(b) First ranked choice tabulation. A first ranked choice tabulation shall be done under this clause before a tabulation as described in clause (c). A first ranked choice tabulation will consist of a first round only. Under the first ranked choice tabulation, the vote total will be the sum of the number one ranked votes. The maximum possible threshold must be determined. If the number of candidates, other than any undeclared or declared write-in candidate, whose vote total is equal to or greater than the maximum possible threshold is equal to the number of seats to be filled, those candidates are declared elected and the tabulation is complete. If the number of candidates, other than any undeclared or declared write-in candidate, whose vote total is equal to or greater than the maximum possible threshold is less than the number of seats to be filled, a tabulation, as described in clause (c), shall be done.</u></p>	<p><b>RCV enhancement: Election Night Results</b> Process to determine winners based on election night first choice results</p>
<p>(1) Tabulation of votes at the ranked-choice voting tabulation center must proceed in rounds for each office to be counted. The threshold must be calculated and publicly declared. Each round must proceed sequentially as follows:</p>	<p><u>(c) Tabulation of Round(s).</u> (1) Tabulation of votes at the ranked-choice voting tabulation center must proceed in rounds for each office to be counted. The threshold must be calculated <del>and publicly declared</del>. Each round must proceed sequentially as follows:</p>	<p><b>Renumbering</b> Was 167.70(a)1, now 167.70(c)1</p> <p><b>Administrative/Housekeeping Change</b></p>

## Ordinance Changes Reference Chart 2013

<p>a. The number of votes cast for each candidate for the current round must be counted. If the number of candidates whose vote total is equal to or greater than the threshold is equal to the number of seats to be filled, those candidates who are continuing candidates are elected and the tabulation is complete. If the number of candidates whose vote total is equal to or greater than the threshold is not equal to the number of seats to be filled, a new round begins and the tabulation must continue as described in clause b.</p>	<p>a. The number of votes cast for each candidate for the current round must be counted. If the number of candidates, <u>other than any undeclared write-in candidate,</u> whose vote total is equal to or greater than the threshold is equal to the number of seats to be filled, those candidates who are continuing candidates are elected and the tabulation is complete. If the number of candidates, <u>other than any undeclared write-in candidate,</u> whose vote total is equal to or greater than the threshold is not equal to the number of seats to be filled, a new round begins and the tabulation must continue as described in clause b.</p>	<p><b>Renumbering</b> Was 167.70(a)(1)a, now 167.70(c)(1)a</p> <p><b>Administrative/Housekeeping Change relative to RCV enhancement: write-ins</b></p>
<p>b. Surplus votes for any candidates whose vote total is equal to or greater than the threshold must be calculated.</p>	<p>b. Surplus votes for any candidates whose vote total is equal to or greater than the threshold must be calculated.</p>	<p><b>Renumbering</b> Was 167.70(a)(1)b, now 167.70(c)(1)b</p>
<p>c. After any surplus votes are calculated but not yet transferred, all candidates for whom it is mathematically impossible to be elected must be defeated simultaneously. Votes for the defeated candidates must be transferred to each ballot's next-ranked continuing candidate. If no candidate can be defeated mathematically, the tabulation must continue as described in clause d. Otherwise, the tabulation must continue as described in clause a.</p>	<p>c. <del>After</del> <u>At the beginning of the second round only, after any surplus votes are calculated but not yet transferred, all undeclared write-in candidates and all candidates for whom it is mathematically impossible to be elected must be defeated simultaneously. For rounds subsequent to the second round, after any surplus votes are calculated but not yet transferred,</u> all candidates for whom it is mathematically impossible to be elected must be defeated simultaneously. Votes for the defeated candidates must be transferred to each ballot's next-ranked continuing candidate, <u>except votes for candidates defeated in the final round are not transferred if, by their defeat, the number of continuing candidates is reduced to the number of seats yet to be filled.</u> If no candidate can be defeated <del>mathematically</del> <u>under this clause,</u> the tabulation must continue as described in clause d. Otherwise, the tabulation must continue as described in clause a.</p>	<p><b>Renumbering</b> Was 167.70(a)(1)c, now 167.70(c)(1)c</p> <p><b>Administrative/Housekeeping Change relative to RCV enhancement: write-ins</b></p> <p><b>Administrative/Housekeeping Change</b> Same tabulation procedures for single- and multiple-seat elections.</p>

## Ordinance Changes Reference Chart 2013

<p>d. The transfer value of each vote cast for an elected candidate must be transferred to the next continuing candidate on that ballot. The candidate with the largest surplus is declared elected and that candidate's surplus is transferred. A tie between two (2) or more candidates must immediately and publicly be resolved by lot by the chief election official at the ranked-choice voting tabulation center. The surplus of the candidate chosen by lot must be transferred before other transfers are made. The result of the tie resolution must be recorded and reused in the event of a recount. If no candidate has a surplus, the tabulation must continue as described in clause e. Otherwise, the tabulation must continue as described in clause a.</p>	<p><del>d. The transfer value of each vote cast for an elected candidate must be transferred to the next continuing candidate on that ballot.</del> The candidate with the largest surplus is declared elected and that candidate's surplus is transferred. A tie between two (2) or more candidates must immediately and publicly be resolved by lot by the chief election official at the ranked-choice voting tabulation center. The surplus of the candidate chosen by lot must be transferred before other transfers are made. The result of the tie resolution must be recorded and reused in the event of a recount. <u>The transfer value of each vote cast for an elected candidate must be transferred to the next continuing candidate on that ballot.</u> If no candidate has a surplus, the tabulation must continue as described in clause e. Otherwise, the tabulation must continue as described in clause a.</p>	<p><b>Renumbering</b> Was 167.70(a)(1)d, now 167.70(c)(1)d</p> <p><b>Administrative/Housekeeping Change</b></p>
<p>e. If there are no transferable surplus votes, the candidate with the fewest votes is defeated. Votes for a defeated candidate are transferred at their transfer value to each ballot's next-ranked continuing candidate. Ties between candidates with the fewest votes must be decided by lot, and the candidate chosen by lot must be defeated. The result of the tie resolution must be recorded and reused in the event of a recount.</p>	<p>e. If there are no transferable surplus votes, the candidate with the fewest votes is defeated. Votes for a defeated candidate are transferred at their transfer value to each ballot's next-ranked continuing candidate, <u>except votes for candidates defeated in the final round are not transferred if, by their defeat, the number of continuing candidates is reduced to the number of seats yet to be filled.</u> Ties between candidates with the fewest votes must be decided by lot, and the candidate chosen by lot must be defeated. The result of the tie resolution must be recorded and reused in the event of a recount.</p>	<p><b>Renumbering</b> Was 167.70(a)(1)e, now 167.70(c)(1)e</p> <p><b>Administrative/Housekeeping Change</b> Same tabulation procedures for single- and multiple-seat elections.</p>

## Ordinance Changes Reference Chart 2013

<p>f. The procedures in clauses a. to e. must be repeated until the number of candidates whose vote total is equal to or greater than the threshold is equal to the number of seats to be filled, or until the number of continuing candidates is equal to the number of offices yet to be elected. If the number of continuing candidates is equal to the number of offices yet to be elected, any remaining continuing candidates must be declared elected. In the case of a tie between two (2) continuing candidates, the tie must be decided by lot as provided in Minneapolis Charter Chapter 2, Section 12, and the candidate chosen by lot must be defeated. The result of the tie resolution must be recorded and reused in the event of a recount.</p>	<p>f. The procedures in clauses a. to e. must be repeated until the number of candidates whose vote total is equal to or greater than the threshold is equal to the number of seats to be filled, or until the number of continuing candidates is equal to the number of <del>offices</del> <u>seats</u> yet to be <del>elected</del> <u>filled</u>. If the number of continuing candidates is equal to the number of <del>offices</del> <u>seats</u> yet to be <del>elected</del> <u>filled</u>, any remaining continuing candidates must be declared elected. In the case of a tie between two (2) <u>or more</u> continuing candidates, the tie must be decided by lot as provided in Minneapolis Charter Chapter 2, Section 12, and the candidate chosen by lot must be defeated. The result of the tie resolution must be recorded and reused in the event of a recount. <u>Candidates defeated under this clause in the final round will retain their votes.</u></p>	<p><b>Renumbering</b> Was 167.70(a)(1)f, now 167.70(c)(1)f</p> <p><b>Administrative/Housekeeping Change</b> Same tabulation procedures for single- and multiple-seat elections.</p>
<p>(2) When a single skipped ranking is encountered on a ballot, that ballot shall count towards the next non-skipped ranking. If any ballot cannot be advanced because no further continuing candidates are ranked on that ballot, or because a voter has skipped more than one (1) ranking or because an undervote, overvote, or duplicate ranking is encountered, the ballot shall not count towards any candidate in that round or in subsequent rounds for the office being counted.</p>	<p>(2) When a <del>single</del> <u>skipped ranking, overvote or repeat candidate ranking</u> is encountered on a ballot, that ballot shall count towards the <del>next non-skipped ranking</del> <u>highest continuing ranking that is not a skipped ranking, an overvote or repeat candidate ranking</u>. If any ballot cannot be advanced because no further continuing candidates are ranked on that ballot, or because <del>a voter has skipped more than one (1) ranking or because an undervote, overvote, or duplicate ranking is encountered,</del> <u>the only votes for further continuing candidates that are ranked on that ballot are either overvotes or repeat candidate rankings</u>, the ballot shall not count towards any candidate in that round or in subsequent rounds for the office being counted.</p>	<p><b>Renumbering</b> Was 167.70(a)(2), now 167.70(c)(2)</p> <p><b>Administrative/Housekeeping Change relative to RCV enhancement: Voter intent</b></p>

## Ordinance Changes Reference Chart 2013

<b>167.90 Manual count</b> <b>Old Language</b>	<b>New Language</b>	<b>Notes</b>
<p>(a) <i>Required recounts.</i> A candidate defeated in the final round of tabulation may request a recount of the votes cast for the nomination or election to that office if the difference between the final round vote total for that candidate and for a winning candidate is less than one-half ( 1/2) of one (1) percent of the total votes counted for that office. In case of offices where two (2) or more seats are being filled from among all the candidates for the office, the one-half ( 1/2) of one (1) percent difference is between the elected candidate with the fewest votes and the candidate with the highest final round vote total from among the candidates who were not elected.</p>	<p>(a) <i>Required recounts.</i> A candidate defeated in the final round of tabulation may request a recount of the votes cast for the nomination or election to that office if the difference between the final round vote total for that candidate and for a winning candidate is <del>less than one-half ( 1/2) of one (1) percent of the total votes counted for that office</del> <u>less than the percentage threshold as provided by Minnesota Statutes, Section 204C.36.</u> In case of offices where two (2) or more seats are being filled from among all the candidates for the office, the <del>one-half ( 1/2) of one (1) percent difference</del> <u>percentage threshold difference, as provided by Minnesota Statutes, Section 204C.36,</u> is between the elected candidate with the fewest votes and the candidate with the highest final round vote total from among the candidates who were not elected.</p>	<p><b>Administrative/Housekeeping Change:</b> keeps ordinance in alignment with state statute</p>
<b>167.100 Manual count</b> <b>Old Language</b>	<b>New Language</b>	<b>Notes</b>
<p><b>167.100. Manual count procedures.</b> The chief election official shall establish administrative procedures for conduct of a manual count in accordance with rules for counting the votes contained in sections 167.60 and 167.70 of this chapter.</p>	<p><b>167.100. <del>Manual count</del> <u>Count</u> procedures.</b> The chief election official shall establish administrative procedures for <del>conduct of a manual count</del> <u>the tabulation of votes</u> in accordance with rules for counting the votes contained in sections <u>167.50,</u> 167.60 and 167.70 of this chapter.</p>	<p><b>Administrative/Housekeeping Change</b></p>

## Ordinance Changes Reference Chart 2013

<b>167.130 Post-election review</b> <b>Old Language</b>	<b>New Language</b>	<b>Notes</b>
<p><b>167.130. Post-election review of voting system; ranked-choice voting elections.</b> (a) <i>Selection of test date; notice.</i> Thirty (30) days before a ranked-choice election that will be conducted using electronic voting equipment to tabulate results, the chief election official must set the date, time, and place for conduct of a post-election review, and must also set the date, time and place for the random selection of contests to be reviewed.</p>	<p><b>167.130. Post-election review of voting system; <del>ranked-choice voting elections and tabulation of results.</del></b> (a) <i>Selection of test date; notice.</i> <del>Thirty (30) days before a ranked-choice election that will be conducted using electronic voting equipment to tabulate results, the chief election official must set the date, time, and place for conduct of a post-election review, and must also set the date, time and place for the random selection of contests to be reviewed.</del> <u>Post-election review is not required for a hand count election.</u> At canvass, the chief election official must <u>select by lot the offices and precincts to be reviewed and set the date, time and place for the post-election review.</u></p>	<p><b>Administrative/Housekeeping Change</b> Clarification</p>
<p>(b) <i>Scope and conduct of test.</i> The post-election review must be conducted, in public, of a sample of votes cast for at least one (1) single-seat ranked-choice voting election for city council, if applicable, and at least one (1) multiple-seat ranked-choice voting election for either park board or board of estimate and taxation, if applicable. At least one (1) precinct selected in each review must have had at least one thousand five hundred (1,500) votes cast in the election.</p>	<p>(b) <i>Scope and conduct of test.</i> The post-election review must be conducted, in public, of a sample of <u>votes ballots</u> cast for at least one (1) single-seat ranked-choice voting election for city council, if applicable, and <del>at least one (1) multiple-seat ranked-choice voting election for either park board or board of estimate and taxation, if applicable.</del> <u>At least one (1) precinct selected in each review must have had at least one thousand five hundred (1,500) votes cast in the election.</u></p>	<p><b>Administrative/Housekeeping Change</b></p>

### Ordinance Changes Reference Chart 2013

<p>(c) <i>Single seat test.</i> No later than two (2) days following completion of the vote tabulation the chief election official shall select two (2) precincts by lot. Using the actual ballots cast in the two (2) precincts selected, the judges of the election shall conduct a manual count of votes cast for the office of council member using procedures called for in section 167.100 of this chapter and accompanying rules. The judges shall make a record of the votes cast and vote transfers made. Upon determining the outcome of the manual count, the judges shall perform a second test with the same ballots where votes cast are read and counted by the same voting equipment used in the precincts on election day, and shall determine the outcome of the count using the same software used to perform vote transfers at the ranked-choice counting center.</p>	<p><del>(c) <i>Single seat test.</i> No later than two (2) days following completion of the vote tabulation</del> At canvass, the chief election official shall select, <u>by lot, a total of two (2) precincts</u> <del>by lot.</del> Using the actual ballots cast in the two (2) precincts selected, the judges of the election shall conduct a <u>manual hand count of votes ballots</u> cast for the <u>office one or two offices</u> of council member <del>using.</del> <u>Using</u> procedures called for in section 167.100 of this chapter and accompanying rules. <del>The ,the</del> judges shall <u>make a record of count and record the votes ballots</u> cast <del>and vote transfers made.</del> Upon determining the outcome of the manual count, the judges shall perform <u>a second test with the same ballots where votes cast are read and counted by the same voting equipment used in the precincts on election day, and shall determine the outcome of the count using the same software used to perform vote transfers at the ranked-choice counting center.</u></p>	<p><b>Administrative/Housekeeping Change</b> Specifies procedures for post-election review.</p>
---	---	---



## Ordinance Changes Reference Chart 2013

<p>(d) <i>Multiple seat test.</i> No later than two (2) days following completion of the vote tabulation, the chief election official shall select, by lot, two (2) precincts in a single ward. Using the actual ballots cast in the two (2) precincts selected, the judges of the election shall conduct a manual count of votes cast for a multiple seat office appearing on the ballot also to be determined by lot. Using procedures called for in section 167.100 of this chapter and accompanying rules, the judges shall the votes cast and perform vote transfers. Upon determining the outcome of the manual count, the judges shall perform a second test with the same ballots where votes cast are read and counted by the same voting equipment used in the precincts on election day, and shall determine the outcome of the count using the same software used to perform vote transfers at the ranked-choice voting tabulation center.</p>	<p>(d) <i>Multiple seat test.</i> <del>No later than two (2) days following completion of the vote tabulation</del> <u>At canvass,</u> the chief election official shall select, by lot, <u>a total of</u> two (2) precincts <del>in a single ward</del>. Using the actual ballots cast in the two (2) precincts selected, the judges of the election shall conduct a <u>manual hand</u> count of <del>votes</del> <u>ballots</u> cast for <del>a multiple seat office appearing on the ballot</del> <u>either the office of park board or the office of board of estimate and taxation</u>, also to be determined by lot. Using procedures called for in section 167.100 of this chapter and accompanying rules, the judges shall count <u>and record</u> the <del>votes</del> <u>ballots cast</u> <del>and perform vote transfers</del>. <del>Upon determining the outcome of the manual count, the judges shall perform a second test with the same ballots where votes cast are read and counted by the same voting equipment used in the precincts on election day, and shall determine the outcome of the count using the same software used to perform vote transfers at the ranked-choice voting tabulation center.</del></p>	<p><b>Administrative/Housekeeping Change</b> Specifies procedures for post-election review.</p>
<p>(e) <i>Standard of acceptable performance by voting system.</i> A comparison of the results compiled by the voting system with the results compiled by the judges of election performing the manual count must show that the results of the electronic voting system differed by no more than one-half ( 1/2) of one (1) percent from the manual count of the sample tested. Valid votes that have been marked by the voter outside the vote targets or using a manual marking device that cannot be read by the voting system must not be included in making the determination whether the voting system has met the standard of acceptable performance.</p>	<p>(e) <i>Standard of acceptable performance by voting system.</i> A comparison of the results compiled by the voting system with the results compiled by the judges of election performing the <u>manual hand</u> count must show that the results of the electronic voting system differed by no more than <del>one half ( 1/2) of one (1) percent</del> <u>the applicable percentage threshold, as provided by Minnesota Statutes, Section 204C.36,</u> from the <u>manual hand</u> count of the sample tested. Valid votes that have been marked by the voter outside the vote targets or using a manual marking device that cannot be read by the voting system must not be included in making the determination whether the voting system has met the standard of acceptable performance.</p>	<p><b>Administrative/Housekeeping Change</b></p>

## Ordinance Changes Reference Chart 2013

<p>(f) <i>Additional review:</i></p> <p>If the post-election review reveals a difference greater than one-half ( 1/2) of one (1) percent, in one (1) precinct, the post-election review official must, within two (2) days, conduct an additional review of two (2) more precincts in the same jurisdiction where the discrepancy was discovered. The chief election official must immediately publicly select by lot additional precincts for review. The additional review must be completed within two (2) days after the precincts are selected and the results immediately reported to the county auditor.</p> <p>If the second review also indicates a difference in the vote totals compiled by the voting system that is greater than one-half ( 1/2) of one (1) percent, in one (1) precinct, from the result indicated by the post-election review, the chief election official must conduct a review of the ballots from all the remaining precincts in the contest being reviewed. This review must be completed no later than two (2) weeks after the election.</p>	<p>(f) <u>Additional review if needed. Additional review(s) may be required as follows:</u></p> <p>(1) <u>Additional precinct review.</u> If the <del>post-election review</del> a test under clause (c) or (d) reveals a difference greater than <del>one-half ( 1/2) of one (1) percent</del> <u>the applicable percentage threshold, as provided by Minnesota Statutes, Section 204C.36,</u> in <u>at least one (1) precinct of an office,</u> the <del>post-election review official must, within two (2) days, conduct an additional review of two (2) more precincts in the same jurisdiction where the discrepancy was discovered.</del> The chief election official must immediately publicly select by lot <u>two (2) additional precincts of the same office</u> for review. The additional <u>precinct</u> review must be completed within two (2) days after the precincts are selected and the results immediately reported to the county auditor.</p> <p>(2) <u>Additional office review.</u> If the <del>second additional precinct</del> review also indicates a difference in the vote totals <del>compiled by the voting system</del> that is greater than <del>one-half ( 1/2) of one (1) percent</del> <u>the applicable percentage threshold, as provided by Minnesota Statutes, Section 204C.36,</u> in <u>at least one (1) precinct,</u> <del>from the result indicated by the post-election review</del> <u>of an office,</u> the chief election official must conduct a review of the ballots from all the remaining precincts in the <del>contest</del> <u>office</u> being reviewed. This review must be completed no later than two (2) weeks after the <del>election canvass.</del></p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><b>Administrative/Housekeeping Change</b></p>
<p>(g) <i>Report of results.</i> Upon completion of the post-election review, the chief election official must immediately report the results to the county auditor and be made results public.</p>	<p>(g) <i>Report of results.</i> Upon completion of the post-election review, the chief election official must immediately report the results to the county auditor and <del>be made</del> <u>make those</u> results public.</p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><b>Administrative/Housekeeping Change</b></p>

### Ordinance Changes Reference Chart 2013

<p>(i) <i>Effect on voting systems.</i> If a voting system is found to have failed to record votes accurately and in the manner provided by this chapter, the voting system may not be used at another election until it has been approved for use by the county auditor, pursuant to Minnesota Statute Section 206.58. In addition, the county auditor may order the city to conduct a manual recount of all votes cast in the election.</p>	<p>(i) <i>Effect on voting systems.</i> If a voting system is found to have failed to record votes accurately and in the manner provided by this chapter, the voting system may not be used at another election until it has been approved for use by the county auditor, pursuant to Minnesota Statute Section 206.58. In addition, the county auditor may order the city to conduct a <del>manual</del> <u>hand</u> recount of all <del>votes</del> <u>ballots</u> cast in the election.</p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><b>Administrative/Housekeeping Change</b></p>
<p>(j) <i>Penalties to voting equipment system vendor.</i> If the voting system failure is attributable to either its design or to actions of the vendor, the vendor is liable for the cost of a manual recount ordered per section 167.130(i) and is liable for additional penalties imposed per agreement between the city and the vendor.</p>	<p>(j) <i>Penalties to voting equipment system vendor.</i> If the voting system failure is attributable to either its design or to actions of the vendor, the vendor is liable for the cost of a <del>manual</del> <u>hand</u> recount ordered per section 167.130(i) and is liable for additional penalties imposed per agreement between the city and the vendor.</p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><b>Administrative/Housekeeping Change</b></p>